
 WILLIAM & MARY

CSCI 454/554 Computer and Network Security


Topic 2. Introduction to Cryptography

 WILLIAM & MARY

Outline



- Basic Crypto Concepts and Definitions
- Some Early (Breakable) Cryptosystems
- "Key" Issues

2

 WILLIAM & MARY



Basic Concepts and Definitions

3

 **Cryptography** 



- **Cryptography**: the art of secret writing
- Converts data into unintelligible (random-looking) form
 - Must be **reversible** (can recover original data without loss or modification)
- **Not** the same as compression
 - n bits in, n bits out
 - Can be combined with compression
 - What's the right order?


4

 **Cryptography vs. Steganography** 

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Cryptography conceals the contents of communication between two parties ▪ Anonymous communication conceals who is communicating ▪ Kerckhoffs's principle <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ A cryptosystem should be secure even if everything about the system, except the key, is public knowledge 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Steganography (hiding in plain sight) conceals the very existence of communication <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Examples? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Watermark ▪ Info leakage ▪ Security through obscurity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Defense in depth ▪ Open source software?
---	---

5

 **Encryption/Decryption** 





```

    graph LR
      P[plaintext] --> E[encryption]
      E --> C[ciphertext]
      C --> D[decryption]
      D --> P2[plaintext]
      K1[key] --> E
      K2[key] --> D
  
```



- Plaintext: a message in its original form
- Ciphertext: a message in the transformed, unrecognized form
- Encryption: the process that transforms a plaintext into a ciphertext
- Decryption: the process that transforms a ciphertext to the corresponding plaintext
- Key: the value used to control encryption/decryption.

6

 **Cryptanalysis** 



- “code breaking”, “attacking the cipher”
- Difficulty depends on
 - sophistication of the cipher
 - amount of information available to the code breaker
- Any cipher **can** be broken by exhaustive trials, but rarely practical
 - When can you recognize if you have succeeded?

7

 **Ciphertext Only Attacks** 


- Ex.: attacker can intercept encrypted communications, nothing else
 - when is this realistic?
- Breaking the cipher: analyze patterns in the ciphertext
 - provides clues about the encryption method/key

8

 **Known Plaintext Attacks** 


- Ex.: attacker intercepts encrypted text, but **also** has access to some of the corresponding plaintext (definite advantage)
 - When is this realistic?
- Requires plaintext-ciphertext pairs to recover the key, but the attacker cannot choose which particular pairs to access.
 - Makes some codes (e.g., mono-alphabetic ciphers) very easy to break

9

 **Chosen Plaintext Attacks** WILLIAM & MARY


- Ex.: attacker can **choose any plaintext** desired, and intercept the corresponding ciphertext
 - When is this realistic?
- Choose exactly the messages that will reveal the most about the cipher

10

 **Chosen Ciphertext Attacks** WILLIAM & MARY


- Ex.: attacker can present **any ciphertext** desired to the cipher, and get the corresponding plaintext
 - When is this realistic?
- Isn't this the goal of cryptanalysis???

11

 **The "Weakest Link" in Security** WILLIAM & MARY

- Cryptography is **rarely** the weakest link
- Weaker links
 - Implementation of cipher
 - Distribution or protection of keys

12



Perfectly Secure Ciphers


WILLIAM & MARY

1. Ciphertext does not reveal any information about which plaintexts are more likely to have produced it
 - i.e., the cipher is robust against chosen ciphertext attacks

and

2. Plaintext does not reveal any information about which ciphertexts are more likely to be produced
 - i.e, the cipher is robust against chosen plaintext attacks

13



Computationally Secure Ciphers


WILLIAM & MARY

1. The **cost** of breaking the cipher quickly exceeds the value of the encrypted information

and/or

2. The **time** required to break the cipher exceeds the useful lifetime of the information
 - Under **the assumption** there is not a faster / cheaper way to break the cipher, waiting to be discovered

14





Secret Keys vs. Secret Algorithms

WILLIAM & MARY



- Security by obscurity
 - We can achieve better security if we keep the algorithms secret
 - Hard to keep secret if used widely
 - Reverse engineering, social engineering
- Publish the algorithms
 - Security of the algorithms depends on the secrecy of the keys
 - Less unknown vulnerability if all the smart (good) people in the world are examine the algorithms

15

 **Secret Keys vs. Secret Algorithms** 



- Commercial world
 - Published
 - Wide review, trust
- Military
 - Keep algorithms secret
 - Avoid giving enemy good ideas
 - Military has access to the public domain knowledge anyway.

16

 **Some Early Ciphers** 

Some Early Ciphers

17

 **Caesar Cipher** 

- Replace each letter with the one **3** letters later in the alphabet
 - ex.: plaintext CAT → ciphertext FDW

plaintext alphabet

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	...
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	-----

ciphertext alphabet

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	...
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	-----

Trivial to break

18

“Captain Midnight Secret Decoder” Ring WILLIAM & MARY

- Replace each letter by one that is δ positions later, where δ is **selectable** (i.e., δ is the **key**)
 - example: IBM \rightarrow HAL (for $\delta=25$)
- Also trivial to break with modern computers (only 26 possibilities)

plaintext alphabet: A B C D E F G H I J K ...

ciphertext alphabet: A B C D E F G H I J K ...

19

Mono-Alphabetic Ciphers WILLIAM & MARY

- Generalized** substitution cipher: an arbitrary (but fixed) mapping of one letter to another
 - 26! ($\approx 4.0 \cdot 10^{26} \approx 2^{88}$) possibilities
- The key must specify which permutation; how many bits does that take?

plaintext alphabet: A B C D E F G H I J K ...

ciphertext alphabet: A B C D E F G H I J K ...

20

Attacking Mono-Alphabetic Ciphers WILLIAM & MARY

- Broken by **statistical analysis** of letter, word, and phrase frequencies of the language
- Frequency of single letters in English language, taken from a large corpus of text:

A \approx 8.2%	H \approx 6.1%	O \approx 7.5%	V \approx 1.0%
B \approx 1.5%	I \approx 7.0%	P \approx 1.9%	W \approx 2.4%
C \approx 2.8%	J \approx 0.2%	Q \approx 0.1%	X \approx 0.2%
D \approx 4.3%	K \approx 0.8%	R \approx 6.0%	Y \approx 2.0%
E \approx 12.7%	L \approx 4.0%	S \approx 6.3%	Z \approx 0.1%
F \approx 2.2%	M \approx 2.4%	T \approx 9.1%	
G \approx 2.0%	N \approx 6.7%	U \approx 2.8%	

21

Attacking... (Cont'd) WILLIAM & MARY

- If all words equally likely, probability of any one word would be quite low
 - how many words are there in the English language?
- Actual frequencies of some words in English language:

the ≈ 6.4%	a ≈ 2.1%	i ≈ 0.9%
of ≈ 4.0%	in ≈ 1.8%	it ≈ 0.9%
and ≈ 3.2%	that ≈ 1.2%	for ≈ 0.8%
to ≈ 2.4%	is ≈ 1.0%	as ≈ 0.8%

22

(Tip: Counting Letter Frequencies) WILLIAM & MARY

- Program **letter**, written by TJ O'Connor
- Output for Declaration of Independence:

23

Vigenere Cipher WILLIAM & MARY

- A **set** of mono-alphabetic substitution rules (shift amounts) is used
 - the key determines what the sequence of rules is
 - also called a *poly-alphabetic* cipher
- Ex.: key = (3 1 5)
 - i.e., substitute first letter in plaintext by letter+3, second letter by letter+1, third letter by letter+5
 - then repeat this cycle for each 3 letters

24

Vigenere... (Cont'd) WILLIAM & MARY

- Ex.: plaintext = "BANDBAD"

plaintext message

B	A	N	D	B	A	D
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

shift amount

3	1	5	3	1	5	3
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

ciphertext message

E	B	S	G	C	F	G
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Breaking the cipher: look for repeated patterns in the ciphertext

25

Hill Ciphers WILLIAM & MARY

- Encrypts m letters of plaintext at each step
 - i.e., plaintext is processed in blocks of size m
- Encryption of plaintext p to produce ciphertext c is accomplished by: $c = Kp$
 - the $m \times m$ matrix K is the key
 - K 's determinant must be relatively prime to size of alphabet (26 for our example)
- decryption is multiplication by inverse: $p = K^{-1}c$
 - remember: all arithmetic mod 26

26

Hill Cipher Example WILLIAM & MARY

- For $m = 2$, let $K = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$, $K^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 21 & 2 \\ 3 & 25 \end{pmatrix}$

Plaintext $p =$



A	B	X	Y	D	G
0	1	23	24	3	6

$(1*0+2*1) \bmod 26$ $(21*15+2*13) \bmod 26$
 $(3*23+5*24) \bmod 26$

Ciphertext $c =$



2	5	19	7	15	13
C	F	T	H	P	N

27

 Hill... (Cont'd) 



- Fairly strong for large m
- But, vulnerable to **chosen plaintext** attack
 - choose m plaintexts, generate corresponding ciphertexts
 - form a $m \times m$ matrix \mathbf{X} from the plaintexts, and $m \times m$ matrix \mathbf{Y} from the ciphertexts (details omitted)
 - can solve directly for \mathbf{K} (i.e., $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{X}^{-1}$)

28

 Permutation Ciphers 

- The previous codes are all based on **substituting** one symbol in the **alphabet** for another symbol in the alphabet
- Permutation cipher**: permute (rearrange, transpose) the letters in the **message**
 - the permutation can be fixed, or can change over the length of the message


29

 Permutation... (Cont'd) 

- Permutation cipher ex. #1:
 - Permute each successive block of 5 letters in the message according to position offset $\langle +1, +3, -2, 0, -2 \rangle$

plaintext message

W H Y O W | H Y C A N | T I F L Y



ciphertext message

30

Permutation... (Cont'd) WILLIAM & MARY

- Permutation cipher ex. #2:
- arrange plaintext in blocks of n columns and m rows
- then permute columns in a block according to a key K

Key (perm. of columns) → 4 3 1 2

Plaintext symbol positions

1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12

ciphertext sequence (by plaintext position) for one block

3 7 11 4 8 12 2 6 10 1 5 9

31

Permutation... (Cont'd) WILLIAM & MARY

- A longer example: plaintext = "ATTACK POSTPONED UNTIL TWO AM"

Key: 4 3 1 2 5 7 6

plaintext

A	T	T	A	C	K	P
O	S	T	P	O	N	E
D	U	N	T	I	L	I
W	O	A	M	X	Y	Z

ciphertext

TTNA APTM TSUO AODW COIX PETZ KNLY

32

A Perfectly Secure Cipher: One-Time Pads WILLIAM & MARY

- According to a theorem by Shannon, a perfectly secure cipher **requires**:
 - a key length **at least as long as the message** to be encrypted
 - the key **can only be used once** (i.e., for each message we need a new key)
- Very limited use due to need to negotiate and distribute long, random keys for every message

33

WILLIAM & MARY

OTP... (Cont'd)

- Idea
 - generate a **random** bit string (the key) as long as the plaintext, and share with the other communicating party
 - encryption**: XOR this key with plaintext to get ciphertext

The diagram shows a flow from left to right. A green box labeled 'plaintext' has an arrow pointing to a square box containing a circled plus sign (\oplus). Below this box is a 'Key' label with two arrows pointing up to the \oplus box. An arrow points from this box to a yellow box labeled 'ciphertext'. From the 'ciphertext' box, an arrow points to another square box containing a circled plus sign (\oplus). Below this second box is the same 'Key' label with two arrows pointing up to the \oplus box. An arrow points from this second box to a green box labeled 'plaintext'.

34

WILLIAM & MARY

OTP... (Cont'd)

plaintext	01011001	01000101	01010011	
	↓ \oplus		↑ =	
key (pad)	00010111	00001010	01110011	
	↓ =		↑ \oplus	
ciphertext	01001110	01001111	00100000	


- Why can't the key be reused?

35

WILLIAM & MARY


Some "Key" Issues


36

 **Types of Cryptography** WILLIAM & MARY

- Number of keys
 - Hash functions: no key
 - Secret key cryptography: one key
 - Public key cryptography: two keys - public, private
- The way in which the plaintext is processed
 - Stream cipher: encrypt input message **one symbol** at a time
 - Block cipher: divide input message into **blocks** of symbols, and processes the blocks in sequence
 - May require **padding**

37

 **Secret Key Cryptography** WILLIAM & MARY




```


    graph LR
      P1[plaintext] --> E[encryption]
      E --> C[ciphertext]
      C --> D[decryption]
      D --> P2[plaintext]
      K[key] --> E
      K --> D
      subgraph Key
        K
      end
  
```

- Same key is used for encryption and decryption
- Also known as
 - Symmetric cryptography
 - Conventional cryptography

38

 **Secret Key Cryptography (Cont'd)** WILLIAM & MARY

- Basic technique
 - Product cipher:
 - Multiple applications of interleaved substitutions and permutations



```

    graph LR
      P[plaintext] --> S1[S]
      S1 --> P1[P]
      P1 --> S2[S]
      S2 --> P2[P]
      P2 --> Dots[...]
      Dots --> S3[S]
      S3 --> C[ciphertext]
      subgraph Key
        S1
        P1
        S2
        P2
      end
  
```

39


 **Secret Key Cryptography (Cont'd)** WILLIAM & MARY

- Ciphertext approximately the same length as plaintext
- Examples
 - Stream Cipher: RC4
 - Block Cipher: DES, IDEA, AES


 **Applications of Secret Key Cryptography** WILLIAM & MARY

- Transmitting over an insecure channel
 - Challenge: How to share the key?
- Secure Storage on insecure media
- Authentication
 - Challenge-response
 - To prove the other party knows the secret key
 - Must be secure against chosen plaintext attack
- Integrity check
 - Message Integrity Code (MIC)
 - a.k.a. Message Authentication Code (MAC)

 **Public Key Cryptography (PKC)** WILLIAM & MARY



- Invented/published in 1975
- A public/private key pair is used
 - Public key can be publicly known
 - Private key is kept secret by the owner of the key
- Much slower than secret key cryptography
- Also known as
 - Asymmetric cryptography



- Another mode: digital signature
 - Only the party with the private key can create a digital signature.
 - The digital signature is verifiable by anyone who knows the public key.
 - The signer cannot deny that he/she has done so.
 - The signature is created on a hash value of the message.

- Data transmission:
 - Alice encrypts m_a using Bob's public key e_B , Bob decrypts m_a using his private key d_B .
- Storage:
 - Can create a safety copy: using public key of trusted person.
- Authentication:
 - No need to store secrets, only need public keys.
 - Secret key cryptography: need to share secret key for every person to communicate with.

- Digital signatures
 - Sign hash $H(m)$ with the private key
 - Authorship
 - Integrity
 - Non-repudiation: can't do with secret key cryptography
- Key exchange
 - Establish a common session key between two parties
 - Particularly for encrypting long messages

Hash Algorithms WILLIAM & MARY

Message of arbitrary length \rightarrow **Hash H** \rightarrow A fixed-length short message

- Also known as
 - Message digests
 - One-way transformations
 - One-way functions
 - Hash functions
- Length of $H(m)$ much shorter than length of m
- Usually fixed lengths: 128 or 160 bits

46

Hash Algorithms (Cont'd) WILLIAM & MARY

- Desirable properties of hash functions
 - Performance:** Easy to compute $H(m)$
 - One-way property (Preimage resistance):** Given $H(m)$ but not m , it's difficult to find m .
 - Weak collision free (Second preimage resistance):** Given m_1 , it's difficult to find m_2 such that $H(m_1) = H(m_2)$.
 - Strong collision free (Collision Resistance):** Computationally infeasible to find m_1, m_2 such that $H(m_1) = H(m_2)$

47

Applications of Hash Functions WILLIAM & MARY

- Primary application
 - Generate/verify digital signatures

Message $m \rightarrow$ **H** $\xrightarrow{H(m)}$ **Sign** \rightarrow Signature $\text{Sig}(H(m))$
↑
 Private key

Message $m \rightarrow$ **H** $\xrightarrow{H(m)}$ **Verify** \rightarrow Yes/No
↑
 Signature $\text{Sig}(H(m))$
↑
 Public key

48

 Applications of Hash Functions (Cont'd) 

- Password hashing
 - Doesn't need to know password to verify it
 - Store $H(\text{password} + \text{salt})$ and salt, and compare it with the user-entered password
 - Salt makes dictionary attack more difficult
- Message integrity
 - Agree on a secret key k
 - Compute $H(m|k)$ and send with m
 - Doesn't require encryption algorithm, so the technology is exportable

 Applications of Hash Functions (Cont'd) 

- Message fingerprinting
 - Verify whether some large data structures (e.g., a program) has been modified
 - Keep a copy of the hash
 - At verification time, recompute the hash and compare
- Hashing program and the hash values must be protected separately from the large data structures

 Summary 

- Cryptography is a fundamental, and most carefully studied, component of security
 - not usually the "weak link"
- "Perfectly secure" ciphers are possible, but too expensive in practice
- Early ciphers aren't nearly strong enough
- Key distribution and management is a challenge for any cipher
