Chapter 10 **Algorithm Design Techniques** 

### Dynamic Programming

- dynamic programming
- -very general approach to finding an optimal path through the state space of feasible states
- -state space may be represented as a directed graph with feasible states as nodes and feasible decisions as arcs -forms the decision network

1

3

### **Dynamic Programming**

- -we apply dynamic programming to a problem when
  - the problem can be divided into stages with a decision made at each stage
  - -each stage has a number of possible states associated with it
  - the decision made at each stage describes how the state at the current stage leads to the state at the next stage
  - -given the current state, the optimal choice for the remaining stages does not depend on previous decisions or their associated states

### Dynamic Programming

-formulating dynamic programming recursions

The dynamic programming recursion (for minimization) is

 $f_t(i) = \min\{\text{cost during stage } t + f_{t+1}(\text{new state at stage } t+1)\}.$ 

To derive the recursion we need to identify:

- the set of feasible decisions for the given state and stage;
- how the cost during the current stage t depends on t, the current state, and the decision chosen at stage t; and
- how the state at stage t + 1 depends on t, the state at stage t, and the decision chosen at stage t.

4

2

### Dynamic Programming

### -the knapsack problem

We have a knapsack that can hold a weight of at most W.

We can choose from T different types of articles to pack.

Each article of type t has (integer) weight  $w_t$  and (integer) value of  $v_t$ . We wish to load a knapsack to maximize the total value of the articles included, subject to the capacity constraint.

Let

 $x_t =$  number of articles of type t included.

An integer programming formulation is

maximize

 $\sum_t v_t x_t$ subject to  $\sum_{t} w_t x_t \leq W$  $x_t \ge 0$  integer for all t.

### Dynamic Programming

-the knapsack problem (cont.)

The only resource here is weight.

Let  $f_t(d)$  be the maximum value of items  $t, t + 1, \ldots, T$  if their combined weight is  $\leq d$ .

The dynamic programming recursion is

$$f_{T+1}(d) = 0;$$
  
 $f_t(d) = \max_{x_t \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{ v_t x_t + f_{t+1}(d - w_t x_t) \mid w_t x_t \le d \}.$ 

The optimal solution we seek corresponds to  $f_1(W)$ .

We start by computing  $f_T$  for all possible states and work our way back to  $f_1(W)$ .

### **Dynamic Programming**

-the knapsack problem (cont.)





and that W = 10.

Observe that we can work forwards from  $t=1 \mbox{ and } d=10$  to eliminate some states from consideration.

7

## Dynamic Programming



9

### Dynamic Programming

-the knapsack problem (cont.)

On the other hand,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f_2(6) &=& \max_{x_2\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 7x_2+f_3(6-3x_2) &\mid 3x_2\leq 6 \end{array} \right\} \\ &=& \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0+f_3(6) &=& 12 \quad x_2=0 \\ 7+f_3(3) &=& 7 \quad x_2=1 \\ 14+f_3(0) &=& 14 \quad x_2=2 \quad & \\ 14+f_3(0) &=& 14 \quad x_2=2 \quad & \\ \end{array} \right\} \\ f_2(10) &=& \max_{x_2\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 7x_2+f_3(10-3x_2) &\mid 3x_2\leq 10 \end{array} \right\} \\ &=& \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0+f_3(10) &=& 24 \quad x_2=0 \quad & \\ 7+f_3(7) &=& 19 \quad x_2=1 \\ 14+f_3(4) &=& 14 \quad x_2=2 \\ 21+f_3(1) &=& 21 \quad x_2=3 \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\} \end{array}$$

# Dynamic Programming



8

### Dynamic Programming

-the knapsack problem (cont.)

In the next stage we compute

$$f_2(2), f_2(6), f_2(10).$$

We have

$$f_2(d) = \max_{x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{ 7x_2 + f_3(d - 3x_2) \mid 3x_2 \le d \}.$$

If d=2 our only option is to choose none of Item 2, so

$$f_2(2) = \max_{x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{ 7x_2 + f_3(2 - 3x_2) \mid 3x_2 \le 2 \} = 0 + f_3(2) = 0.$$

10

### Dynamic Programming

-the knapsack problem (cont.) Finally,  $f_1(10) = \max_{x_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{ 11x_1 + f_2(10 - 4x_1) \mid 4x_1 \le 10 \}$   $= \begin{cases} 0 + f_2(10) = 24 \quad x_2 = 0 \\ 11 + f_2(6) = 25 \quad x_1 = 1 \\ 22 + f_2(2) = 22 \quad x_1 = 2 \end{cases}$ The optimal strategy is

one of Item 1,two of Item 2.

Sero of Item 3.



13

		_	
)י	namic	Program	imina
	,		

-an alternative a	ppr	roach (c	ont.)			
Now follow the	recu	ursion to	fill out the	valu	es of	V:
V(4)	=	$\max \left\{ {} \right.$	11 + V(0) = 7 + V(1)	=	$\frac{11}{7}$	type 1 ∰∎ type 2
V(5)	=	$\max \Bigg\{$	11 + V(1) 7 + V(2) 12 + V(0)	11 11 11	11 7 12	type 1 type 2 type 3 ₪
V(6)	=	$\max \Bigg\{$	$\begin{array}{c} 11+V(2) \\ 7+V(3) \\ 12+V(1) \end{array}$	11 11	11 14 12	type 1 type 2 €ी type 3
V(7)	=	$\max \left\{ {} \right.$	11 + V(3) 7 + V(4) 12 + V(2)	II II II	18 18 12	type 1 ∰∎ type 2 ∰∎ type 3

15

### **Dynamic Programming**

-computational complexity

This DP approach requires we compute  $V(0), \ldots, V(W)$ , and each V(w) requires we look at (at most) T sums.

Thus, O(WT) operations are required.

However, the knapsack problem is NP-hard!

This DP solution of knapsack is a pseudo-polynomial time algorithm—the run-time is polynomial in the numeric value of the input W, not the number of bits in W (length of the input).

Suppose it takes m>1 bits to represent W. This means  $2^{m-1}\leq W\leq 2^m-1,$  so the DP approach is actually exponential in m.



14

Dynamic Programmin	g			
-an alternative approach	(cont.)			
ĺ	11 + V(4)	=	22	type 1 🛍
$V(8) = \max \left\{ \right.$	7 + V(5)	=	19	type 2
	12 + V(3)	=	19	type 3
(	11 + V(5)	=	23	type 1 🛍
$V(9) = \max \langle$	7 + V(6)	=	21	type 2
	12 + V(4)	=	23	type 3 🛍
(	11 + V(6)	=	25	type 1 💼
$V(10) = \max_{0} \langle V(10) \rangle_{0}$	7 + V(7)	=	25	type 2
, <i>,</i> ,	12 + V(5)	=	24	type 3
Starting with a 10 lb knaps a type 1 item, leaving	ack, one opti 10 - 4 = 6 lb	mal ;	selec	tion is given by
a type 2 item, leaving	6 - 3 = 3 lb;			
a type 2 item, leaving	3 - 3 = 0 lb.			

16

# Multiplication -how fast can we multiply? If we multiply two n-digit numbers in the obvious way, the time required is proportional to $n^2$ . $\frac{123}{\times 456}$ $\frac{738}{738}$ + 7150 + 49200 56088 Can we do better?



-standard multiplication Given two 2n-bit numbers  $u=(u_{2n-1}\cdots u_1u_0)_2$  and  $v=(v_{2n-1}\cdots v_1v_0)_2$ , we can write  $u = 2^n U_1 + U_0$  $v = 2^n V_1 + V_0,$ where  $U_1 = (u_{2n-1} \cdots u_1 u_n)_2$  consists of the n most significant bits of u, while  $U_0=(u_{n-1}\cdots u_1u_0)_2$  are the n least significant bits, and

The obvious way of multiplication is

 $uv = (2^{2n} + 2^n)U_1V_1 + 2^n(U_1V_0 + U_0V_1) + (2^n + 1)U_0V_0.$ 

Multiplications by powers of 2 are O(n) left shifts and  $\pm$  is also O(n). Recursion for runtime T:

similarly for  $V_1, V_0$ .

 $T(2n) = 4T(n) + cn \Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(n^2).$ 

19

### **Multiplication**

-recursion for the complexity

Let T(2n) be the time needed to compute the product of two 2n-bit numbers via

 $uv = (2^{2n} + 2^n)U_1V_1 + 2^n(U_1 - U_0)(V_1 - V_0) + (2^n + 1)U_0V_0.$ 

How many multiplications are on the right?

There are only 3 multiplications, since the multiplications by powers of 2 are just shifts. The cost of the shifts are  $\propto n$ 

There are also a bunch of additions, but this work is also  $\propto n.$ 

This leads to the recursion

T(2n) = 3T(n) + cnT(1) = c'.

21

### **Multiplication**

-solution via reduction (cont.)

Again applying the recursion, we obtain

T(n/2) = 3T(n/4) + c(n/4),

SO

T(2n) = 27T(n/4) + 9c(n/4) + 3c(n/2) + cn.

Now a pattern has emerged: we conjecture that after  $\boldsymbol{k}$  steps of this process,

$$T(2n) = 3^{k}T(2^{-k}2n) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i} cn.$$

### **Multiplication**

-multiplication by divide and conquer

A faster approach (A. A. Karatsuba (1962)):

$$uv = (2^{2n} + 2^n)U_1V_1 + 2^n(U_1 - U_0)(V_1 - V_0) + (2^n + 1)U_0V_0.$$

This is true since

$$(U_1 - U_0)(V_1 - V_0) = U_1V_1 - U_1V_0 - U_0V_1 + U_0V_0.$$

20

### **Multiplication**

```
-solution via reduction
    Suppose 2n = 2^m. From the recursion
                           T(2n) = 3T(n) + cn
                            T(1) = c'
    we obtain the following:
                        T(n) = 3T(n/2) + c(n/2),
```

so

$$T(2n) = 3(3T(n/2) + c(n/2)) + cn$$
  
= 9T(n/2) + 3c(n/2)) + cn

22

### **Multiplication**

### -complexity

If we repeat this k = m times, so  $2^k = 2^m = 2n$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} T(2n) &= 3^m T(1) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^i cn \\ &= 3^m c' + cn \frac{1 - (3/2)^m}{1 - (3/2)} = 3^m c' + 2cn((3/2)^m - 1) \end{split}$$

The dominant term is  $3^m c'$ , and

$$3^m = 3^{\lg n} = (2^{\lg 3})^{\lg n} = (2^{\lg n})^{\lg 3} = n^{\lg 3} = n^{1.5850\ldots}$$

so the divide-and-conquer algorithm is  $\Theta(n^{1.585})$ .



### 25

# Matrix Multiplication

-Strassen's fast matrix multiplication (1969)

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{I} &= & (A_{11}+A_{22})(B_{11}+B_{22})\\ \mathsf{II} &= & (A_{21}+A_{22})B_{11}\\ \mathsf{III} &= & A_{11}(B_{12}-B_{22})\\ \mathsf{IV} &= & A_{22}(-B_{11}+B_{21})\\ \mathsf{V} &= & (A_{11}+A_{12})B_{22}\\ \mathsf{VI} &= & (-A_{11}+A_{21})(B_{11}+B_{22})\\ \mathsf{VII} &= & (A_{12}-A_{22})(B_{21}+B_{22}) \end{split}$$

27

### Matrix Multiplication

### -Strassen's trick

Strassen trades an  ${\cal O}((n/2)^3)$  matrix product for 14  ${\cal O}((n/2)^2)$  matrix additions.

Now apply the algorithm recursively to compute the  $n/2\times n/2$  matrix products.

If T(n) is the time it takes to compute an  $n\times n$  matrix product using Strassen, then

 $T(n) = 7 T(n/2) + 18n^2.$ 

This recurrence leads to

$$T(n) = O(n^{\log_2 7}) \approx O(n^{2.81})$$
 (4.7  $n^{2.81}$ ).

This is better than the  ${\cal O}(n^3)$  complexity of standard matrix multiplication!

### Matrix Multiplication

### -block matrix multiplication

Suppose  $n=2^m$  for some m. Write A and B in terms of  $n/2\times n/2$  blocks:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{array}\right)$$

Standard matrix multiplication:

 $C_{11} = A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21}$  $C_{12} = A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22}$  $C_{21} = A_{21}B_{11} + A_{22}B_{21}$  $C_{22} = A_{21}B_{12} + A_{22}B_{22}$ 

8  $n/2 \times n/2$  matrix products and 4  $n/2 \times n/2$  matrix additions.

26

### Matrix Multiplication

-Strassen's fast matrix multiplication (1969) (cont.)

 $\begin{array}{rcl} C_{11} &=& \mathsf{I} + \mathsf{IV} - \mathsf{V} + \mathsf{VII} \\ C_{12} &=& \mathsf{III} + \mathsf{V} \\ C_{21} &=& \mathsf{II} + \mathsf{IV} \\ C_{22} &=& \mathsf{I} + \mathsf{III} - \mathsf{II} + \mathsf{VI} \end{array}$ 

7  $n/2 \times n/2$  matrix products and 18  $n/2 \times n/2$  matrix additions.

28

### Matrix Multiplication

-Strassen's - practical concerns

This discussion assumes  ${\cal A}$  and  ${\cal B}$  are square but there exist variants for rectangular matrices.

We can compute and use the terms  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}\xspace{-}\ensuremath{\mathsf{VII}}$  one at a time, so we need not store all of them.

Some extra storage is needed because of the recursion.

At some point in the recursion standard matrix multiplication becomes more efficient so we switch.

30

### Matrix Multiplication

Let

-Strassen's algorithm for matrix inversion

Strassen's algorithm for inversion has a complexity bounded by  $5.64 \ n^{\log_2 7}.$ 

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

31

-state of the art

Winograd (1972): Variant of Strassen with 7 matrix-matrix products and 15 matrix-matrix additions  $\Rightarrow \Theta(n^{\log_2 7})$  with better constant. Pan (1978):  $\Theta(n^{2.795})$ .

Coppersmith and Winograd (1990):  $\Theta(n^{2.376})$ .

Le Gall's variant of Coppersmith and Winograd (2014):  $\Theta(n^{2.373})\text{---best}$  known.

Cohn, Kleinberg, Szegedy, Umans (2005): Conjectures based on group theory which, if true, implies  $\Theta(n^{2+\varepsilon})$  for any  $\varepsilon>0.$ 

Clearly  $\Theta(n^2)$  is a lower bound on matrix multiplication—it takes  $n^2$ operations just to write down the answer.

Conjecture: Matrix multiplication can be performed in  $\Theta(n^{2+\varepsilon})$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0.$ 

### Matrix Multiplication

- Strassen's algorithm for matrix inversion (cont.) Then	
$I = A_{11}^{-1}$	
$H = A_{21}I$	
$III = IA_{12}$	
$IV = A_{21}III$	
$V = IV - A_{22}$	
$VI = V^{-1}$	
$C_{12} = III \cdot VI$	
$C_{21} = VI \cdot II$	
$VII = III \cdot C_{21}$	
$C_{11} = I - VII$	
$C_{22} = -VI.$	
	32

32