# Chapter 11 :: Functional Languages

#### Programming Language Pragmatics

Michael L. Scott





Copyright © 2016 Elsevier

# **Historical Origins**

- The imperative and functional models grew out of work undertaken by Alan Turing, Alonzo Church, Stephen Kleene, Emil Post, etc. ~1930s
  - different formalizations of the notion of an algorithm, or *effective procedure*, based on automata, symbolic manipulation, recursive function definitions, and combinatorics
- These results led Church to conjecture that *any* intuitively appealing model of computing would be equally powerful as well
  - this conjecture is known as Church's thesis



- Turing's model of computing was the *Turing machine* a sort of pushdown automaton using an unbounded storage "tape"
  - the Turing machine computes in an imperative way, by changing the values in cells of its tape – like variables just as a high level imperative program computes by changing the values of variables



## **Historical Origins**

- Church's model of computing is called the *lambda calculus* 
  - based on the notion of parameterized expressions (with each parameter introduced by an occurrence of the letter  $\lambda$ —hence the notation's name.
  - Lambda calculus was the inspiration for functional programming
  - one uses it to compute by substituting parameters into expressions, just as one computes in a high level functional program by passing arguments to functions



# **Historical Origins**

- Mathematicians established a distinction between
  - *constructive* proof (one that shows how to obtain a mathematical object with some desired property)
  - *nonconstructive* proof (one that merely shows that such an object must exist, e.g., by contradiction)
- Logic programming is tied to the notion of constructive proofs, but at a more abstract level
  - the logic programmer writes a set of *axioms* that allow the *computer* to discover a constructive proof for each particular set of inputs

- Functional languages such as Lisp, Scheme, FP, ML, Miranda, and Haskell are an attempt to realize Church's lambda calculus in practical form as a programming language
- The key idea: do everything by composing functions
  - no mutable state
  - no side effects



- Necessary features, many of which are missing in some imperative languages
  - 1st class and high-order functions
  - serious polymorphism
  - powerful list facilities
  - structured function returns
  - fully general aggregates
  - garbage collection



- So how do you get anything done in a functional language?
  - Recursion (especially tail recursion) takes the place of iteration
  - In general, you can get the effect of a series of assignments

x := 0 ... x := expr1 ... x := expr2 ...

from f3(f2(f1(0))), where each f expects the value of x as an argument, f1 returns expr1, and f2 returns expr2



• Recursion even does a nifty job of replacing looping

becomes f(0, 1, 100), where



- Thinking about recursion as a direct, mechanical replacement for iteration, however, is the wrong way to look at things
  - One has to get used to thinking in a recursive style
- Even more important than recursion is the notion of *higher-order functions* 
  - Take a function as argument, or return a function as a result
  - Great for building things



- Lisp also has the following (which are not necessarily present in other functional languages)
  - -homo-iconography
  - -self-definition
  - -read-evaluate-print
- Variants of LISP
  - -Pure (original) Lisp
  - -Interlisp, MacLisp, Emacs Lisp
  - -Common Lisp
  - -Scheme



- Pure Lisp is purely functional; all other Lisps have imperative features
- All early Lisps dynamically scoped
  - Not clear whether this was deliberate or if it happened by accident
- Scheme and Common Lisp statically scoped
  - Common Lisp provides dynamic scope as an option for explicitly-declared *special* functions
  - Common Lisp now THE standard Lisp
    - Very big; complicated (The Ada of functional programming)



- Scheme is a particularly elegant Lisp
- Other functional languages
  - -ML
  - Miranda
  - Haskell
  - FP
- Haskell is the leading language for research in functional programming



- As mentioned earlier, Scheme is a particularly elegant Lisp
  - Interpreter runs a read-eval-print loop
  - Things typed into the interpreter are evaluated (recursively) once
  - Anything in parentheses is a function call (unless quoted)
  - Parentheses are NOT just grouping, as they are in Algol-family languages
    - Adding a level of parentheses changes meaning

$$(+ 3 4) \Rightarrow 7$$

$$((+ 3 4))) \Rightarrow \text{error}$$

(the '  $\Rightarrow$ ' arrow means 'evaluates to')



- Scheme:
  - Boolean values #t and #f
  - Numbers
  - Lambda expressions
  - Quoting

 $(+ 3 4) \Rightarrow 7$ (quote (+ 3 4))  $\Rightarrow (+ 3 4)$ ' $(+ 3 4) \Rightarrow (+ 3 4)$ 

- Mechanisms for creating new scopes

(let ((square (lambda (x) (\* x x))) (plus +))
(sqrt (plus (square a) (square b))))



- Scheme:
  - conditional expressions

```
(if (< x 0) (- 0 x)) ; if-then
(if (< x y) x y) ; if-then-else</pre>
(if (< 2 3) 4 5) ⇒ 4
(cond
 ((< 3 2) 1)
 ((< 4 3) 2)
 (else 3)) \Rightarrow 3
```

- case selection

```
(case month
 ((sep apr jun nov) 30)
 (feb) 28)
 (else 31)
```



- Scheme:
  - Imperative stuff
    - assignments
    - sequencing (begin)
    - iteration
    - I/O (read, display)





- Scheme standard functions (this is not a complete list):
  - -arithmetic
  - -boolean operators
  - -equivalence
  - -list operators
  - -symbol?
  - -number?
  - -complex?
  - -real?
  - -rational?
  - -integer?



#### • expressions

-Cambridge prefix notation for all Scheme expressions:

(f x1 x2 ... xn)
(+ 2 2) ; evaluates to 4
(+ (\* 5 4) (- 6 2)) ; means 5\*4 + (6-2)
(define (Square x) (\* x x)) ; defines a fn
(define f 120) ; defines a global

-Note: Scheme comments begin with ;



- expression evaluation
  - three steps:
    - 1. Replace names of symbols by their current bindings.
    - 2. Evaluate lists as function calls in Cambridge prefix.
    - 3. Constants evaluate to themselves.

#### 



• lists

-series of expressions enclosed in parentheses

-represent both functions and data

-empty list written as ()

-e.g., (0 2 4 6 8) is a list of even numbers

-stored as





nil

#### • list transforming functions

-using cons (construct):

(cons 8 ()) ; gives (8)
(cons 6 (cons 8 ())) ; gives (6 8)
(cons 4 (cons 6 (cons 8 ()))) ; gives (4 6 8)
(cons 4 (cons 6 (cons 8 9))); gives (4 6 8 . 9)

-Note: the last element of a list should be a null list



#### • list transforming functions

```
-suppose we define the list evens to be (0 2 4 6 8), i.e., we write (define evens '(0 2 4 6 8)). Then,
```

```
(car evens) ; gives 0
(cdr evens) ; gives (2 4 6 8)
(cons 1 (cdr evens)) ; gives (1 2 4 6 8)
(null? `()) ; gives #t, or true
(equal? 5 `(5)) ; gives #f, or false
(append `(1 3 5) evens) ; gives (1 3 5 0 2 4 6 8)
(list `(1 3 5) evens) ; gives ((1 3 5) (0 2 4 6 8))
```

Note: the last two lists are different!



- more on car/cdr
  - (car (cdr (evens))
  - (cadr evens)
  - (cdr (cdr (evens))
  - (cddr (evens)
  - (car '(6 8))
  - (car (cons 6 8))
  - (car '(8))
  - (cdr '(8))

- ; gives 2
- ; gives 2
- ; gives (4, 6, 8)
- ; gives (4, 6, 8)
- ; gives 6
- ; gives 6
- ; gives 8
- ; gives ()



#### • defining functions

```
(define (name arguments) function-body)
(define (min x y) (if (< x y) x y))
(define (abs x) (if (< x 0) (- 0 x) x))
define (factorial n)
  (if (< n 1) 1 (* n (factorial (- n 1)))
))</pre>
```

Note: be careful to match all parentheses



• even simple tasks are accomplished recursively

```
(define (mystery2 alist)
 (if (null? alist) 0 (+ 1 (mystery2 (cdr
    alist)))
))
```



• subst function

```
(define (subst y x alist)
 (if (null? alist) `())
   (if (equal? x (car alist))
      (cons y (subst y x (cdr alist)))
      (cons (car alist) (subst y x (cdr alist)))
)))
```

```
e.g., (subst 'x 2 '(1 (2 3) 2))
returns (1 (2 3) x)
```



•let expressions allow simplification of function definitions by defining intermediate expressions

```
(define (subst y x alist)
  (if (null? alist) `()
  (let ((head (car alist))) (tail (cdr alist)))
    (if (equal? x head)
      (cons y (subst y x tail))
      (cons head (subst y x tail))
)))
```



- functions as arguments
  - applies the function to each member of a list

```
(define (mapcar fun alist)
  (if (null? alist) `()
      (cons (fun (car alist))
                    (mapcar fun (cdr alist)))
))
```

```
e.g., if (define (square x) (* x x)) then
(mapcar square '(2 3 5 7 9)) returns
(4 9 25 49 81)
```



• Symbolic Differentiation Rules

$$\frac{d}{dx}(c) = 0$$
$$\frac{d}{dx}(x) = 1$$
$$\frac{d}{dx}(u+v) = \frac{du}{dx} + \frac{dv}{dx}$$
$$\frac{d}{dx}(u-v) = \frac{du}{dx} - \frac{dv}{dx}$$
$$\frac{d}{dx}(uv) = u\frac{dv}{dx} + v\frac{du}{dx}$$
$$\frac{d}{dx}(uv) = \left(v\frac{du}{dx} - u\frac{dv}{dx}\right)/v^{2}$$

c is a constant

*u* and *v* are functions of *x* 



30

- Scheme encoding
  - 1. Uses Cambridge Prefix notation

e.g., 2x + 1 is written as (+ (\* 2 x) 1)

2. Function diff incorporates these rules.

e.g., (diff 'x '(+ (\* 2 x) 1)) should give

an answer.

3. However, no simplification is performed.
e.g. the answer for (diff 'x '(+ (\* 2 x) 1)) is
(+ (+ (\* 2 1) (\* x 0)) 0)
which is equivalent to the simplified answer

which is equivalent to the simplified answer, 2



31

#### • Scheme program

```
(define (diff x expr)
   (if (not (list? expr))
      (if (equal? x expr) 1 0)
        (let ((u (cadr expr)) (v (caddr expr)))
            (case (car expr)
               ((+) (list '+ (diff x u) (diff x v)))
               ((-) (list '- (diff x u) (diff x v)))
               ((*) (list '+ (list '* u (diff x v))
                    (list '* v (diff x u))))
               ((/) (list 'div (list '- (list '* v (diff x u))
                    (list '* u (diff x v)))
                    (list '* u v)))
```



33

• trace of the program

```
(diff 'x '(+ '(* 2 x) 1))
  = (list '+ (diff 'x '(*2 x)) (diff 'x 1))
  = (list '+ (list '+ (list '* 2 (diff 'x 'x))
              (list '* x (diff 'x 2)))
              (diff 'x 1))
    = (list '+ (list '+ (list '* 2 1) (list '* x (diff 'x 2)))
              (diff 'x 1))
    = (list '+ (list '+ '(* 2 1) (list '* x (diff 'x 2)))
              (diff 'x 1))
    = (list '+ (list '+ '(* 2 1) (list '* x 0))(diff 'x 1))
    = (list '+ (list '+ '(* 2 1) '(* x 0)(diff 'x 1))
    = (list '+ '('+ '(* 2 1) '(* x 0))(diff 'x 1))
    = (list '+ '('+ '(* 2 1) '(* x 0)) 0)
    = '(+(+(*21))'(*x0))
```

#### A Bit of Scheme Example program - Simulation of DFA

- We'll invoke the program by calling a function called 'simulate', passing it a DFA description and an input string
  - The automaton description is a list of three items:
    - start state
    - the transition function
    - the set of final states
  - The transition function is a list of pairs
    - the first element of each pair is a pair, whose first element is a state and whose second element in an input symbol
    - if the current state and next input symbol match the first element of a pair, then the finite automaton enters the state given by the second element of the pair



#### A Bit of Scheme Example program - Simulation of DFA

```
(define simulate
  (lambda (dfa input)
    (letrec ((helper ; note that helper is tail recursive,
              ; but builds the list of moves in reverse order
              (lambda (moves d2 i)
                (let ((c (current-state d2)))
                  (if (null? i) (cons c moves)
                      (helper (cons c moves) (move d2 (car i)) (cdr i)))))))
      (let ((moves (helper '() dfa input)))
        (reverse (cons (if (is-final? (car moves) dfa)
                           'accept 'reject) moves))))))
;; access functions for machine description:
(define current-state car)
(define transition-function cadr)
(define final-states caddr)
(define is-final? (lambda (s dfa) (memq s (final-states dfa))))
(define move
 (lambda (dfa symbol)
   (let ((cs (current-state dfa)) (trans (transition-function dfa)))
      (list
      (if (eq? cs 'error)
           'error
           (let ((pair (assoc (list cs symbol) trans)))
             (if pair (cadr pair) 'error))); new start state
       trans
                                             ; same transition function
       (final-states dfa)))))
                                            ; same final states
```

**Figure 11.1** Scheme program to simulate the actions of a DFA. Given a machine description and an input symbol *i*, function move searches for a transition labeled *i* from the start state to some new state *s*. It then returns a new machine with the same transition function and final states, but with *s* as its "start" state. The main function, simulate, encapsulates a tail-recursive helper function that accumulates an inverted list of moves, returning when it has consumed all input symbols. The wrapper then checks to see if the helper ended in a final state; it returns the (properly ordered) series of moves, with accept or reject at the end. The functions cadr and caddr are defined as (lambda (x) (car (cdr x))) and (lambda (x) (car (cdr (cdr x)))), respectively. Scheme provides a large collection of such abbreviations.



#### A Bit of Scheme Example program - Simulation of DFA



**Figure 11.2** DFA to accept all strings of zeros and ones containing an even number of each. At the bottom of the figure is a representation of the machine as a Scheme data structure, using the conventions of Figure 11.1.



- OCaml is a descendent of ML, and cousin to Haskell, F#
  - "O" stands for objective, referencing the object orientation introduced in the 1990s
  - Interpreter runs a read-eval-print loop like in Scheme
  - Things typed into the interpreter are evaluated (recursively) once
  - Parentheses are NOT function calls, but indicate tuples



- Ocaml:
  - Boolean values
  - Numbers
  - Chars
    - -Strings
    - -More complex types created by lists, arrays, records, objects, etc.
    - -(+ \* /) for ints, (+. -. \*. /.) for floats
  - let keyword for creating new names

let average = fun x y  $\rightarrow$  (x +. y) /. 2.;;



39

#### • Ocaml:

#### -Variant Types

```
type 'a tree = Empty | Node of 'a * 'a tree * 'a tree;;
```

#### -Pattern matching

let atomic\_number (s, n, w) = n;; let mercury = ("Hg", 80, 200.592);;

atomic\_number mercury;;  $\Rightarrow$  80



- OCaml:
  - Different assignments for references `:=' and array elements `<-'</li>



#### A Bit of OCaml Example program - Simulation of DFA

- We'll invoke the program by calling a function called 'simulate', passing it a DFA description and an input string
  - The automaton description is a record with three fields:
    - start state
    - the transition function
    - the list of final states
  - The transition function is a list of triples
    - the first two elements are a state and an input symbol
      if these match the current state and next input, then the automaton enters a state given by the third element



#### A Bit of OCaml **Example program - Simulation of DFA**

```
open List::
               (* includes rev. find, and mem functions *)
type state = int;;
type 'a dfa = {
 current state : state;
 transition function : (state * 'a * state) list;
 final_states : state list;
}::
type decision = Accept | Reject;;
let move (d:'a dfa) (x:'a) : 'a dfa =
 { current_state = (
     let (_, _, q) =
       find (fun (s, c, _) \rightarrow s = d.current_state && c = x)
            d.transition function in
     q);
   transition_function = d.transition_function;
   final_states = d.final_states;
 };;
let simulate (d:'a dfa) (input:'a list) : (state list * decision) =
 let rec helper moves d2 remaining_input : (state option * state list) =
   match remaining input with
   | [] -> (Some d2.current_state, moves)
   | hd :: tl ->
       let new moves = d2.current state :: moves in
ter - Line and the - Line
                                                    add a Dan a Chand 🖂 😅 🚯
                                               ಹಿನ ಪ್ರದೇಶವರ್ಷ 📔 🌾 🖬 ರವರ 🕳 ಹೊ
Manage hart state d.1
```

ulate the actions of a DFA. Given sympthine description  $-\mathrm{igust} = 1.3$  . OCamil program to a radnine with the same transition function and first states — move, stillerwise move returns a rese i The code is podemorphic in the type of the input eerhods, — but with a sa its "sisri" aiste. Note th olstes a isi herursiye das a function that accumulates an – The ratin function, simulates, smag er erdel ins find skie i returnethe forced ordered. Furdior ther check to see if the he deisern s real siste (Sene a) and an erroralste (Mane)) — (Dample 7.6) is used to distinguish b



#### A Bit of OCaml Example program - Simulation of DFA



the bottom of the figure is a representation of the machine as an OCaml data structure, using the conventions of Figure 11.3.

- Applicative order
  - what you're used to in imperative languages
  - usually faster
- Normal order
  - like call-by-name: don't evaluate arg until you need it
  - sometimes faster
  - terminates if anything will (Church-Rosser theorem)



- In Scheme
  - functions use applicative order defined with lambda
  - special forms (aka macros) use normal order defined with syntax-rules
- A *strict* language requires all arguments to be well-defined, so applicative order can be used
- A *non-strict* language does not require all arguments to be well-defined; it requires normal-order evaluation



- Lazy evaluation gives the best of both worlds
- But not good in the presence of side effects.
  - delay and force in Scheme
  - delay creates a "promise"



#### **High-Order Functions**

- Higher-order functions
  - Take a function as argument, or return a function as a result
  - Great for building things
  - Currying (after Haskell Curry, the same guy Haskell is named after)
    - For details see Lambda calculus on CD
    - ML, Miranda, OCaml, and Haskell have especially nice syntax for curried functions



### **Functional Programming in Perspective**

- Advantages of functional languages
  - lack of side effects makes programs easier to understand
  - lack of explicit evaluation order (in some languages) offers possibility of parallel evaluation (e.g. MultiLisp)
  - lack of side effects and explicit evaluation order simplifies some things for a compiler (provided you don't blow it in other ways)
  - programs are often surprisingly short
  - language can be extremely small and yet powerful



48

# **Functional Programming in Perspective**

- Problems
  - -difficult (but not impossible!) to implement efficiently on von Neumann machines
    - •lots of copying of data through parameters
    - •(apparent) need to create a whole new array in order to change one element
    - •heavy use of pointers (space/time and locality problem)
    - •frequent procedure calls
    - •heavy space use for recursion
    - •requires garbage collection
    - •requires a different mode of thinking by the programmer
    - •difficult to integrate I/O into purely functional model

