A Case Study of "Gang of Four" (GoF) Patterns: Part 3

Douglas C. Schmidt <u>d.schmidt@vanderbilt.edu</u> www.dre.vanderbilt.edu/~schmidt



Professor of Computer Science

Institute for Software Integrated Systems

Vanderbilt University Nashville, Tennessee, USA



Topics Covered in this Part of the Module

- Describe the object-oriented (OO) expression tree case study
- Evaluate the limitations with algorithmic design techniques
- Present an OO design for the expression tree processing app





 Apply an *Object-Oriented* (OO) design based on modeling classes & objects in the application domain







- Apply an *Object-Oriented* (OO) design based on modeling classes & objects in the application domain
- Employ "hierarchical data abstraction" where design components are based on stable *class* & *object* roles & relationships
 - Rather than functions corresponding to actions





- Apply an *Object-Oriented* (OO) design based on modeling classes & objects in the application domain
- Employ "hierarchical data abstraction" where design components are based on stable *class* & *object* roles & relationships
- Associate actions with specific objects and/or classes of objects
 - Emphasize high cohesion
 & low coupling





- Apply an *Object-Oriented* (OO) design based on modeling classes & objects in the application domain
- Employ "hierarchical data abstraction" where design components are based on stable *class* & *object* roles & relationships
- Associate actions with specific objects and/or classes of objects
- Group classes & objects in accordance to *patterns* & combine them to form *frameworks*





 Start with object-oriented (OO) modeling of the "expression tree" application domain





 Start with object-oriented (OO) modeling of the "expression tree" application domain



Model a *tree* as a collection of *nodes*





- Start with object-oriented (OO) modeling of the "expression tree" application domain
- Applicationdependent steps
- Model a *tree* as a collection of *nodes*
- Represent *nodes* as a hierarchy, capturing properties of each node
 - e.g., arities





- Start with object-oriented (OO) modeling of the "expression tree" application domain
 - Model a *tree* as a collection of *nodes*
 - Represent *nodes* as a hierarchy, capturing properties of each node
 - e.g., arities



Douglas C. Schmidt

Composite

Applicationindependent steps

steps

Applicationdependent ste

- Conduct *Scope, Commonality, & Variability* analysis to determine stable interfaces & extension points
- Apply "Gang of Four" (GoF) patterns to guide efficient & extensible development of framework components
- Integrate pattern-oriented language/library features w/frameworks

en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Design_Patterns has info on "Gang of Four" (GoF) book

 Over time, common patterns become institutionalized as programming language features

Expression_Tree expr_tree = ...;
Print_Visitor print_visitor;





 Over time, common patterns become institutionalized as programming language features

Traditional STL iterator loop

```
iter != expr_tree.end();
++iter)
```

```
(*iter).accept(print_visitor);
```



 Over time, common patterns become institutionalized as programming language features

Expression_Tree expr_tree = ...;
Print_Visitor print_visitor;

```
for (Expression_Tree::iterator iter =
        expr_tree.begin();
        iter != expr_tree.end();
        ++iter)
        (*iter).accept(print_visitor);
```



 Over time, common patterns become institutionalized as programming language features

C++11 range-based for loop

```
Expression_Tree expr_tree = ...;
Print_Visitor print_visitor;
```

```
for (Expression_Tree::iterator iter =
            expr_tree.begin();
            iter != expr_tree.end();
            ++iter)
            (*iter).accept(print_visitor);
```

```
std::for_each
 (expr_tree.begin(), expr_tree.end(),
 [&print_visitor]
 (const Expression_Tree &t)
 { t.accept(print_visitor);});
```

```
for (auto &iter : expr_tree)
    iter.accept(print_visitor);
```



 Over time, common patterns become institutionalized as programming language features



for (ComponentNode node : exprTree)
 node.accept(printVisitor);

Java for-each loop (assumes tree implements I terable)



 Over time, common patterns become institutionalized as programming language features

for (ComponentNode node : exprTree)
 node.accept(printVisitor);

for (Iterator<ExpressionTree> iter =
 exprTree.iterator();
 iter.hasNext();
)
 iter.next().accept
 (printVisitor);





- OO designs are characterized by structuring software architectures around objects/classes in domains
 - Rather than on actions performed by the software



- OO designs are characterized by structuring software architectures around objects/classes in domains
 - Rather than on actions performed by the software
- Systems evolve & functionality changes, but well-defined objects & class roles & relationships are often relatively stable over time







- OO designs are characterized by structuring software architectures around objects/classes in domains
 - Rather than on actions performed by the software
- Systems evolve & functionality changes, but well-defined objects & class roles & relationships are often relatively stable over time
- To obtain flexible & reusable software, therefore, it's better to base the structure on objects/classes rather than on actions





A Case Study of "Gang of Four" (GoF) Patterns: Part 4

Douglas C. Schmidt <u>d.schmidt@vanderbilt.edu</u> www.dre.vanderbilt.edu/~schmidt



Professor of Computer Science

Institute for Software Integrated Systems

Vanderbilt University Nashville, Tennessee, USA



Topics Covered in this Part of the Module

- Describe the object-oriented (OO) expression tree case study
- Evaluate the limitations with algorithmic design techniques
- Present an OO design for the expression tree processing app
- Summarize the patterns in the expression tree design



Design Problem	Pattern(s)
Extensible expression tree structure	Composite
Encapsulating variability & simplifying memory management	Bridge
Parsing expressions & creating expression tree	Interpreter & Builder
Extensible expression tree operations	Iterator & Visitor
Implementing STL iterator semantics	Prototype
Consolidating user operations	Command
Consolidating creation of variabilities for commands, iterators, etc.	Abstract Factory & Factory Method
Ensuring correct protocol for commands	State
Structuring the application event flow	Reactor
Supporting multiple operation modes	Template Method & Strategy
Centralizing access to global resources	Singleton
Eliminating loops via the STL std::for_each() algorithm	Adapter





Οι	utline	of the	Des	ign Spac	e for	GoF	Pa	tterns	1
Abstrac of in	et the proce estantiating objects	255	Describe how classes & objects can be combined to form larger structures			CC CC be	oncerned w ommunicati tween obje	vith ion ects	
		Pu	irpose	e: Reflects\Wha	t the Pat	tern Does	;		
		Creation	al	Structural	Beł	navioral			
ere	Class	Factory Method	1√	Adapter √ (class)	Inte Ten	erpreter 🗸	, etho	d√	
Scope: Domain Whe Pattern Applies	Object	Abstract Factory Builder V Prototype Singleton	/√ e √ I √	Adapter (object) Bridge √ Composite√ Decorator Flyweight Façade	Cha Cor Itera Mea Mea Obs Sta	ain of Re mmand v atorv diator diator mento server te v	spoi	nsibility Design Pattern Elements of Reusable Object-Oriented Softwar Richard Helm Richard Helm Bioth Johnson John Vissides	
				Proxy	Stra Visi	ategy V tor V		Converte by Grady Booch	

en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Design_Patterns has info on "Gang of Four" (GoF) book





Design Problem	Pattern(s)
Extensible expression tree structure	Composite
Encapsulating variability & simplifying memory management	Bridge
Parsing expressions & creating expression tree	Interpreter & Builder
Extensible tree operations	Iterator & Visitor
Implementing STL iterator semantics	Prototype
Consolidating user operations	Command
Consolidating creation of variabilities for commands, iterators, etc.	Abstract Factory & Factory Method





Design Problem	Pattern(s)
Extensible expression tree structure	Composite
Encapsulating variability & simplifying memory management	Bridge
Parsing expressions & creating expression tree	Interpreter & Builder
Extensible tree operations	Iterator & Visitor
Implementing STL iterator semantics	Prototype
Consolidating user operations	Command
Consolidating creation of variabilities for commands, iterators, etc.	Abstract Factory & Factory Method





Design Problem	Pattern(s)
Extensible expression tree structure	Composite
Encapsulating variability & simplifying memory management	Bridge
Parsing expressions & creating expression tree	Interpreter & Builder
Extensible tree operations	Iterator & Visitor
Implementing STL iterator semantics	Prototype
Consolidating user operations	Command
Consolidating creation of variabilities for commands, iterators, etc.	Abstract Factory & Factory Method





Design Problem	Pattern(s)
Extensible expression tree structure	Composite
Encapsulating variability & simplifying memory management	Bridge
Parsing expressions & creating expression tree	Interpreter & Builder
Extensible tree operations	Iterator & Visitor
Implementing STL iterator semantics	Prototype
Consolidating user operations	Command
Consolidating creation of variabilities for commands, iterators, etc.	Abstract Factory & Factory Method





Design Problem	Pattern(s)
Extensible expression tree structure	Composite
Encapsulating variability & simplifying memory management	Bridge
Parsing expressions & creating expression tree	Interpreter & Builder
Extensible tree operations	Iterator & Visitor
Implementing STL iterator semantics	Prototype
Consolidating user operations	Command
Consolidating creation of variabilities for commands, iterators, etc.	Abstract Factory & Factory Method





Design Problem	Pattern(s)
Extensible expression tree structure	Composite
Encapsulating variability & simplifying memory management	Bridge
Parsing expressions & creating expression tree	Interpreter & Builder
Extensible tree operations	Iterator & Visitor
Implementing STL iterator semantics	Prototype
Consolidating user operations	Command
Consolidating creation of variabilities for commands, iterators, etc.	Abstract Factory & Factory Method





Design Problem	Pattern(s)
Extensible expression tree structure	Composite
Encapsulating variability & simplifying memory management	Bridge
Parsing expressions & creating expression tree	Interpreter & Builder
Extensible tree operations	Iterator & Visitor
Implementing STL iterator semantics	Prototype
Consolidating user operations	Command
Consolidating creation of variabilities for commands, iterators, etc.	Abstract Factory & Factory Method





Design Problem	Pattern(s)
Ensuring correct protocol for processing commands	State
Structuring the application event flow	Reactor
Supporting multiple operation modes	Template Method & Strategy
Centralizing access to global resources	Singleton
Eliminating loops via the STL std::for_each() algorithm	Adapter





Dougin Schmidt Michael Stal Nara Rohert Frank Buschmann	
PATTE SOFTW ARCHI Volume 2	RN-ORIENTED VARE TECTURE Patterns for Concurrent and Networked Objects
@wiley	

Design Problem	Pattern(s)
Ensuring correct protocol for processing commands	State
Structuring the application event flow	Reactor
Supporting multiple operation modes	Template Method & Strategy
Centralizing access to global resources	Singleton
Eliminating loops via the STL std::for_each() algorithm	Adapter

See <u>www.dre.vanderbilt.edu/~schmidt/PDF/Reactor.pdf</u> for the *Reactor* pattern





Design Problem	Pattern(s)		
Ensuring correct protocol for processing commands	State		
Structuring the application event flow	Reactor		
Supporting multiple operation modes	Template Method & Strategy		
Centralizing access to global resources	Singleton		
Eliminating loops via the STL std::for_each() algorithm	Adapter		







Design Problem	Pattern(s)	
Ensuring correct protocol for processing commands	State	
Structuring the application event flow	Reactor	
Supporting multiple operation modes	Template Method & Strategy	
Centralizing access to global resources	Singleton	
Eliminating loops via the STL std::for_each() algorithm	Adapter	







Design Problem	Pattern(s)		
Ensuring correct protocol for processing commands	State		
Structuring the application event flow	Reactor		
Supporting multiple operation modes	Template Method & Strategy		
Centralizing access to global resources	Singleton		
Eliminating loops via the STL std::for_each() algorithm	Adapter		

Naturally, these patterns apply to more than expression tree processing apps!

 GoF patterns provide elements of reusable object-oriented software that address limitations with algorithmic decomposition

Purpose: Reflects W	/hat the Pattern Does
---------------------	-----------------------

		Creational	Structural	Behavioral		
Scope: Domain where Pattern Applies	Class	Factory Method √	Adapter (class) √	Interpreter √ Template Method √		
	Object	Abstract Factory √ Builder √ Prototype √ Singleton √	Adapter (object)√ Bridge √ Composite √ Decorator Flyweight Façade Proxy	Chain of Responder Command √ Iterator √ Mediator Memento Observer State √ Strategy √ Visitor √	Design Patto Design Patto Design Patto Elements of Reusable Object-Oriented Soft Erich Camma Ralph Johnson John Vilssides	erns ware