

## 2 Algorithm analysis method

Reading: MAW: Chapter 2

### 2.1 Asymptotic notation

- Used to compare growth rate or order of magnitude for increasing functions. “Asymptotic” deals with the behavior of functions in the limit, for sufficiently large values of variables.
- $f(n) = O(g(n))$  if  $\exists c, n_0$  such that  $f(n) \leq cg(n)$  for  $n \geq n_0$ .
- $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$  if  $\exists c, n_0$  such that  $f(n) \geq cg(n)$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . (Or equivalently,  $g(n) = O(f(n))$ .)
- $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$  if  $\exists c_1, c_2, n_0$  such that  $c_2g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_1g(n)$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . (Or equivalently,  $f(n) = O(g(n))$  and  $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ .)
- Remarks:
  - Asymptotic notation: growth rate or order of magnitude, not exact value of the functions.
  - $O(\leq)$ ,  $\Omega(\geq)$ , and  $\Theta(=)$ .
  - Ignores constant factors as well as lower-order terms, e.g.,  $10^9n^2 + 10^{10}n + 10^{100} = O(n^2)$ .
  - Ordered by increasing growth rate: constant < polylogarithmic < polynomial < exponential, i.e., 1,  $\log n$ ,  $\log^2 n$ ,  $n$ ,  $n \log n$ ,  $n^2$ ,  $2^n$ .
  - If  $T_1(n) = O(f(n))$  and  $T_2(n) = O(g(n))$ , then  $T_1(n) + T_2(n) = \max\{O(f(n)), O(g(n))\}$  and  $T_1(n) \cdot T_2(n) = O(f(n) \cdot g(n))$ .
- Examples:
  1. Compare  $(\log n)^{100}$  and  $n^{0.01}$ .
  2. Order the following functions by increasing growth rate.  
 $\sqrt{n}$ ,  $n^2$ ,  $n!$ ,  $\sqrt{n} \log n$ ,  $10n$ ,  $2^n$ ,  $(\log n)^2$ ,  $2^{2^n}$ ,  $\ln n$ ,  $n^{\log \log n}$ , 1,  $n \log n$ ,  $\sqrt{\log n}$ ,  $n2^n$ .

### 2.2 Time complexity of algorithms

- Factors that affect the actual running time of an algorithm: hardware (computer), software (language), people (programmer), and data (input). Can the analysis of algorithms ignore those complex factors?
- Measure the running time (time complexity) by the number of basic steps that the algorithm goes through.  
What is a basic step? +, −, ×, /, comparison, assignment, predicate evaluation, etc.. (\*\*, while, and for are not.)
- Define the time complexity as a function of input size, which returns the number of basic steps. That is, time complexity  $T(n)$  is the number of basic steps for inputs of size  $n$ .  
What is the input size? The amount of memory needed to store the input data. For example,

Input	Size
List	# of items
Matrix	# of rows and columns
Graph	# of vertices and edges
Integer	# of bits

- What if there are millions of inputs with size  $n$ ? We use the worst-case time complexity.
  - $I_n$ : Any input of size  $n$ .
  - $t(I_n)$ : Time (number of basic steps) spent on  $I_n$  by the algorithm.

–  $T(n)$ : The worst-case time complexity of the algorithm is defined to be  $\max_{I_n} \{t(I_n)\}$ . (But this is not how you calculate  $T(n)$ .)

- How to analyze the worst-case time complexity of an algorithm:

- Determine the input size  $n$ ;
- What is the worst case?
- Count the number of basic steps for that worst case and represent it as a function of  $n$ . (Shortcuts may be taken.)
- Simplify the function by using the asymptotic notation.

- Example: Compute  $\sum_{i=1}^n i^3$ .

```
sum = 0
for i = 1 to n
    sum = sum + i * i * i
return sum
```

Time complexity:  $T(n) = 1 + (2n + 2) + 4n + 1 = 6n + 4 = O(n)$ .

Shortcut:  $T(n) = O(1) + O(n) = O(n)$ .

- General rules:

- Consecutive statements: These just add (meaning that the maximum is the one that counts). For example,  $O(1) + O(n^2) + O(n) = O(n^2)$ .
- If  $C$  then  $S_1$  else  $S_2$ : The running time is the running time of  $C$  plus the larger of the running times for  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ .
- Loops/Nested loops: The running time is decided by the running time of the statement executed most (in the innermost loop), which can be computed by using summations. For example,

```
for i = 1 to n
    for j = 1 to n
        k ++
```

Time complexity:  $T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n n = O(n^2)$ .

### 2.3 An example: The maximum subsequence sum

- Given integers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ , find the maximum value of  $\sum_{k=i}^j a_k$  for  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ . (Also called the maximum subsequence sum.)
- For example, for input  $-2, 11, -4, 13, -5, -2$ , the answer is 20 ( $i = 2$  and  $j = 4$ ).
- Algorithm 1: Check all subsequences (blocks of consecutive items in the array).

Idea: For each starting index  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let the ending index  $j = i, \dots, n$ . Compute  $\sum_{k=i}^j a_k$  and keep track of the maximum sum.

Input:  $a[1], \dots, a[n]$

Output:  $mss$

```
mss = a[1]
for i = 1 to n
    for j = i to n
        sum = 0
        for k = i to j
            sum = sum + a[k]
        if sum > mss
            mss = sum
return mss
```

Time complexity:  $O(n^3)$ .

- Algorithm 2: Same as Algorithm 1, but uses a smart idea to compute  $\sum_{k=i}^j a_k = \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} a_k + a_j$ .

Input:  $a[1], \dots, a[n]$

Output:  $mss$

```
mss = a[1]
for i = 1 to n
  sum = 0
  for j = i to n
    sum = sum + a[j]
    if sum > mss
      mss = sum
return mss
```

Time complexity:  $O(n^2)$ .

- Algorithm 3: Divide-and-conquer.

Idea: Divide the list  $A$  into two equal-size sublists,  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ . Determine the solutions,  $mss1$  and  $mss2$ , for  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  recursively. Find the the maximum right subsequence sum,  $mrss$ , for  $A_1$  and then the maximum left subsequence sum,  $mlss$ , for  $A_2$ . Finally, let  $mss$  be the maximum of  $mss1$ ,  $mss2$ , and  $mrss + mlss$ .

Input:  $a[1], \dots, a[n]$

Output:  $mss$

```
Max_Subsequence_Sum (A)
if |A| = 1
  return a[1]
else
  A => A1 and A2, with |A1| = |A2|
  mss1 = Max_Subsequence_Sum (A1)
  mss2 = Max_Subsequence_Sum (A2)
  mrss = Max_RSubsequence_Sum (A1, |A1|)
  mlss = Max_LSubsequence_Sum (A2, |A2|)
  mss = max{mss1, mss2, mrss+mlss}
  return mss
```

Max\_RSubsequence\_Sum(B, m)

```
mrss = b[m]
sum = 0
for i = m to 1
  sum = sum + b[i]
  if sum > mrss
    mrss = sum
return mrss
```

Max\_LSubsequence\_Sum(B, m)

```
mlss = b[1]
sum = 0
for i = 1 to m
  sum = sum + b[i]
  if sum > mlss
    mlss = sum
return mlss
```

Time complexity:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n & \text{if } n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n \\ &= 2^2T(\frac{n}{2^2}) + 2n \\ &= \dots \\ &= 2^kT(\frac{n}{2^k}) + kn \quad (n = 2^k) \\ &= nT(1) + n \log n \\ &= O(n \log n) \end{aligned}$$

- Algorithm 4: Uses clever design.

Idea: Uses two pointers *start* and *end*. Let *sum* be the corresponding sum of the block pointed by *start* and *end*.

- Case 1: *start = end* and *sum < 0*.  
Set *start = end + 1*, *end = end + 1*, and *sum = 0*.
- Case 2: *start = end* and *sum > 0*.  
Set *end = end + 1* and *sum = sum + a[end]*.
- Case 3: *start < end* and *sum > 0*.  
Set *end = end + 1* and *sum = sum + a[end]*.
- Case 4: *start < end* and *sum < 0* (for the first time)  
*a[end]* must be a very negative number. In fact one can prove that it is so negative (small) that no subsequence with maximum sum includes it.  
Set *start = end + 1*, *end = end + 1*, and *sum = 0*.

Input: *a[1], ..., a[n]*

Output: *mss*

```

mss = a[1]
sum = 0
start = 1
for end = 1 to n
    sum = sum + a[end]
    if sum > mss
        mss = sum
    if sum < 0
        start = end + 1
        sum = 0
return mss

```

Time complexity:  $O(n)$ .

- Compare  $O(n^3)$ ,  $O(n^2)$ ,  $O(n \log n)$ , and  $O(n)$  by experiments (in seconds).

$T(n)$	$n = 10$	$n = 100$	$n = 1,000$	$n = 10,000$	$n = 100,000$
$n^3$	0.00103	0.47015	448.77	NA	NA
$n^2$	0.00045	0.01112	1.1233	111.13	NA
$n \log n$	0.00066	0.00486	0.05843	0.68631	8.0113
$n$	0.00034	0.00063	0.00333	0.03042	0.29832